

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/779,656	URANO, TAKESHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1723	

All Participants:

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(2) Brian K. Dutton.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
None

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed cancelling the non-elected inventions to place the case in condition for allowance. Brian K. Dutton then authorized the cancellation by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)